

Therefore, the *Robertson* reference does not teach a sensor for determining when an elevator car is in a predetermined parking position.

The *Yoneda* reference does not have any sway limiting members and, therefore, there is no reason to use *Robertson's* sensors. Without a reason for the combination, it cannot be made.


Additionally, there is no *prima facie* case of obviousness because the *Robertson* reference does not teach the type of sensor that the Examiner admits is not found in the *Yoneda* reference. Therefore, even if the proposed combination of the *Yoneda* and *Robertson* references could be made, the result is not enough to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

Given that every rejection in the final office action depends upon the proposed combination of the *Yoneda* and *Robertson* references and the fact that the *Robertson* reference does not teach what the Examiner attributes to the reference, all rejections should be withdrawn.

Applicant respectfully submits that this case is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS

By: 

David J. Gaskey, Reg. No. 37,139
400 W. Maple Rd., Ste. 350
Birmingham, MI 48009
(248) 988-8360

Dated: September 15, 2010